




CMS & The Future of Healthcare IT

September 28, 2006
HelsIT 2006
Trondheim, Norway

William Crawford
Office of Policy
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services


Health-Care Spending, American-Style

Up, up and still up




Source: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Where the money goes, in billions




International Comparison of Health Expenditure

**Total health expenditure
per capita, 2001**



**Health expenditure as a
percentage of GDP, 2001**




Source: Health at a Glance: OECD Indicators 2003 ("OECD 2003"), Charts 3.1 and 3.4.

1. 2000

Life Expectancy at Birth

Life expectancy at birth, 2000



Source: Health at a Glance: OECD Indicators 2003 ("OECD 2003"), Chart 1.1.
1. 1999

55% of the time


Table 3. Adherence to Quality Indicators, Overall and According to Type of Care and Function.

Variable	No. of Indicators	No. of Participants Eligible	Total No. of Times Indicator Eligibility Was Met	Percentage of Recommended Care Received (95% CI)*
Overall care	439	6712	98,649	54.9 (54.3–55.5)
Type of care				
Preventive	38	6711	55,268	54.9 (54.2–55.6)
Acute	153	2318	19,815	53.5 (52.0–55.0)
Chronic	248	3387	23,566	56.1 (55.0–57.3)
Function				
Screening	41	6711	39,486	52.2 (51.3–53.2)
Diagnosis	178	6217	29,679	55.7 (54.5–56.8)
Treatment	173	6707	23,019	57.5 (56.5–58.4)
Follow-up	47	2413	6,465	58.5 (56.6–60.4)

* CI denotes confidence interval.

Somebody DOES get it right!

Relationship Between Quality And Medicare Spending, As Expressed By Overall Quality Ranking, 2000-2001



SOURCES: Medicare claims data; and S.F. Jencks et al., "Change in the Quality of Care Delivered to Medicare Beneficiaries, 1998-1999 to 2000-2001," *Journal of the American Medical Association* 289, no. 3 (2003): 305-312.

NOTE: For quality ranking, smaller values equal higher quality.